



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

`				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,642	08/22/2000	SHINGO SUZUKI	107100	9149
25944 7	590 01/29/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2674	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/642,642	SUZUKI, SHINGO				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 (						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		\				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- have been seen bond					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

. Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-3 and 9-14 are pending. The examination results are as following.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yokota et al. (US patent 5,764,315).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Yokota et al. disclose in figures 14 and 28 that a spread illuminating apparatus in which a square transparent substrate (60) is provided close to the surface of a liquid crystal panel (see abstract), and a plurality of straight groove portions are form on the surface of the transparent substrate intersecting one another obliquely with respect to the four sides of the transparent substrate.
- 4. Regarding claims 2-3 and 9, Yokota et al. disclose that the square transparent for liquid crystal panel is illuminated through the transparent substrate by a bar-light source (33) which is provided parallel with the side surface of the transparent substrate (60) wherein a plurality of straight groove portions are formed on the surface of the transparent substrate intersecting one another obliquely with respect to the four sides of the transparent substrate, wherein the groove portions are substantially triangular in cross section (see figures 5, 21).

. Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

Art Unit: 2674

5. Regarding claims 10-11 and 13-14, Yokota et al. disclose that wherein the interval between the groove portion is decrease as the distance from the bar-like light source, or the depth of the groove portions is increased as the distance from the bar-light source increase.

Furthermore, Yokota et al. teaches that wherein the bar-like light source comprises a bar-like fluorescent tube (33) and the bar-like light source also includes an inherent bar-like transparent light conductive member and a light emitting diode which is provided at the end portion of the bar-like transparent light conductive member.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokota et al. (US patent 5,764,315).

Yokota et al. disclose every feature of the claimed invention, excluding the bar-like light source is in the range of 10 to 45 degrees. From the claims, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to the Yokota et al.'s system to have the bar-like light source is in the range of 10 to 45 degrees as claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the range of the system. A change in range is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent unexpected results. See <u>In re Rose</u>, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1995) and

Application/Control Number: 09/642,642

Art Unit: 2674

In re Raven, 156 USPQ 679 (CCPA 1968).

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen January 24, 2003

SUPERVICES!

4000